

STAT

11 October 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Administrative Stat	ff/DDS&T
FROM:	Chief, Administrative Stat	ff/FBIS
SUBJECT:	Restricted Use of Private	
OL Handout conce consider this a limited but nece the approving le	conse to your request for coerning the above subject, Fi problem area. Utilization essary and therefore any escent does not seem warranted tion against reimbursement	BIS does not of POV's is calation in
presenting these	es no objection to encouraging cles provided they are availed when needed. Presume the questions has resolved all ility in the event of accident	at OL in aspects
enforce policy.	question of regulatory issu the vote would have to go t is too much slippage with y that isn't incorporated i	o regulatory
		STAT ,

STAT

OTS' comments -- Restricted Use of Privately-owned Vehicles

STAT

From OTS' viewpoint any policy which would restrict use of  ${\sf POV}$ 's on official business would adversely impact on us.

Our main complex of buildings is downtown. In addition we have large dispersed units at Headquarters,

We also visit many local vendors on a periodic basis. In most of these cases there is no shuttle service.

Our limited number of official vehicles are already heavily utilized.

Let me address the questions raised in the memo:

- a. Use of POV is presently approved by branch chiefs in advance and by group chiefs and Chief, OTS/SS monthly. Experience dictates that is a practiced way to go for us.
- b. We feel strongly that we should reimburse for mileage over routes with shuttle service. It takes nearly one hour to go South to Headquarters because the bus is often early and then travels all over town before arriving at Headquarters. The return trip is somewhat shorter but the frequency of trips means too much lost time.
- c. Use of U-drive-it official vehicles is encouraged but we don't have that many vehicles, they have to be gassed by people driving them since we don't have a motorpool and for the most part they are consumed in runs to vendors for local purchases, to the post office and airports for deliveries and pickup, etc.
- d. Resorting to regulatory issuance to enforce approved policy might work elsewhere. For us we have to rely on the judgment of our supervisors. They have to decide on a cost/benefit basis whether it's worth the time loss versus the dollar savings. Usually it isn't.